

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001. +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



NHIDCL
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Nagaland/CL(0-18)/ 2019 / 315

24.02.2020

To

All the Technically Qualified Bidders (Listed below)

Sub: Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Changtongya Longleng Road on EPC basis from existing Km 0.0 to Km 16.592 [Design Km. 0+000 to Km. 18+779] (Design Length - 18.779 Km) in the state of Nagaland (Pkg-1) under NH (O) Plan- Opening of Financial Bid - reg.

Based on the Technical Evaluation, following firms are found technically qualified for the subject project:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited - Suryodaya (JV)	Technically Responsive
2	M/s GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
3	M/s RKIPL-NSC (JV)	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	Technically Responsive
6	M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7	M/s SRK Consultant & Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Singh Construction Co.	Technically Responsive
9	M/s KCPL Hyderabad	Technically Responsive
10	M/s Varaha-Oia (JV)	Technically Responsive

2. A copy of the Minutes of Meeting of the Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) is also enclosed herewith for information of applicant bidders.

3. Authority will open the online Financial Proposal on 27.02.2020 at 11:00 PM in the presence of the authorised representatives of the Bidders who may choose to attend at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl.: As above.


(A. K. Jha)

Deputy General Manager (Technical)
Email: gmnagaland.nhidcl@gmail.com

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Changtongya - Longleng Road on EPC basis from existing Km 0.0 to Km 16.592 [Design Km. 0+000 to Km. 18+779] (Design Length - 18.779 Km) in the state of Nagaland (Pkg-1)" under NH (O) Plan" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 18.02.2020

1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were physically received on scheduled bid due date as 27.01.2020 at 1100 hrs.

2. Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) met to open the technical Bids on 28.01.2020 at 1100 hrs. The hard copy of M/s JSR- Avantika (JV) was submitted on 27.01.2020, but no bid was submitted online. Hence the committee decided not to open the physical bid and return the physical bid to the bidder vide ETBC Minutes of meeting dated 28.01.2020. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically and online.

- (i) M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited - Suryodaya (JV)
- (ii) M/s GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd- Skyleek Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
- (iii) M/s RKIPL-NSC (JV)
- (iv) M/s Niraj Cement Structural Ltd.
- (v) M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)
- (vi) M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.
- (vii) M/s SRK Consultant & Projects Pvt. Ltd.
- (viii) M/s Singh Construction Co.
- (ix) M/s KCPL Hyderabad
- (x) M/s Varaha-Oia (JV)

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 218.66 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	218.66
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	327.99
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	196.79
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	65.60
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	54.67
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	21.87
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	21.87
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	10.93
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	6.56
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.19
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	43.73
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	26.24
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	8.76



15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	218.66
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	131.196
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	43.732

4. The Evaluation Committee in its first ETEC during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) in its 1st meeting held on 14.02.2020 has been of a view that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) held on 14.02.2020, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the ETEC in 2nd meeting held on 18.02.2020. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below.

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received from the bidder	Comments of the Committee
1	M/s Credible - Suryodaya (JV)	<p>1. In regards to the work of Construction of High Altitude Road along Indo China Border in the state of J&K, the Certificate of NPCC Limited has not been found. It is requested to provide the Certificate of the Authority for the mentioned work</p> <p>2. The Technical Documents of JV member M/s Suryodaya has not been found. Kindly inform the page number where the documents have been uploaded</p>	<p>We have executed part of the work "Construction of High Altitude road along Indo - China Border in the State of J&K for Package - II (Phobrang - Chartse - Point 4433)" valued at Rs 113.61 Cr for M/s Patel Engineering Limited (PEL) (awarded to PEL by NPCC Ltd., A Govt. of India Enterprise, now under the administration control of WAPCOS, MOWR) with our own resources viz., men, material & machinery including supervision and QC. We are enclosing the certificate as issued by PEL and countersigned by NPCC for consideration. Being a sub-contractor, NPCC cannot issue a certificate directly to us.</p> <p>The following are the page number of the technical documents for JV member M/s Suryodaya Infra Projects Pvt Ltd:</p> <p>Annexure I - Pg 49 to 71 Annexure II - Pg 73 Annexure III - Pg 367 to 552 Annexure IV - Pg 573 to 578 Annexure VI - Pg 692 to 695</p> <p>Further to the submission above, the bidder had again sent a letter stating that " at the time of technical bid submission, due to the file size, we compressed and merged the documents to bring the file size from more than 100 MB to below 40 MB, at that process the pages are missed in the actual uploaded file in portal i.e. page no. 573 to 578 (Annex - IV) and 692 to 695 (Annex - VI), the same is attaching for your perusal."</p>	<p>The requisite documents submitted by M/s Credible have been perused by the committee and the certificate issued by M/s PEL and since counter signed by M/s NPCC Ltd., also Statutory Auditor having certified the same, mentioning the name of M/s PEL and M/s NPCC Ltd. has been accepted.</p> <p>Further, As regards to M/s Suryodaya (JV), it is observed that the bidder has claimed the projects under Category 4 and mentioned the payment received in Annexure II. However, Annexure IV has not been submitted by the bidder. The bidder vide clarification has given the reason for not uploading the same along with the bid and submitted the same along with the clarification. The Committee observed that the category and payment received in uploaded Annex -II matches with the detailed data/ Statutory Auditors certificate of Annexure IV, which has been considered by the</p>

Ajay A P. J. A. J. A.

				Committee.
2	M/s KCPL Hyderabad	<p>1. In regards to the work of Six Lane of Pune - Satara of NH-4 from Km 820 to Km 865.350 in the State of Maharashtra, the Completion certificate or 90% completion certificate has not been found. It is requested to provide the same.</p> <p>2. Further, as per the attached certificate of M/s Reliance Infrastructure Ltd for the work of Six Lane of Pune - Satara of NH-4 from Km 820 to Km 865.350 in the State of Maharashtra, the address of Pune office is not visible. You are also requested to send across a copy of certificate in which all the details are visible and readable.</p> <p>3. The Statutory Auditor's certificate attached does not have UDIN number. You are request to provide the certificate duly certified with Statutory Auditor along with UDIN number.</p>	<p>In regards to the work of Six Lane of Pune - Satara of NH-4 from Km 820 to Km 865.350 in the State of Maharashtra, "The original contract value is Rs 485 Cr against which we have completed Rs 465 Cr as on 31.03.2018 which comes to Rs 95.88% of the original Contract value of Rs 485 Cr. A certificate to the same is already submitted along with BID documents. Once again the copy of the certificate is also attached as Annexure - I to this letter for your information and records.</p> <p>The said original contract value is revised to Rs 557 Cr by including price escalations upto 31.03.2018 against which we have completed Rs 528 Cr which comes to completion of Rs 94.79% of revised contract value of Rs 557 Cr. A certificate bearing no. R-Infra/KCPL/2018-19/2219 dated 25.04.2018 is also enclosed for your information and records as Annexure -II. Further, a certificate bearing no. 2085 dated 02.11.2017 issued by Reliance for completion of 90% work is attached as Annexure III.</p> <p>Further, we hereby submit the copy of letter submitted by Concessionaire to NHAI vide their letter no. PSTRPL/NHAI/1001/18-19/7580 dated 17.10.2018 requesting for provisional completion certificate for completion of 90.43% of work in respect to entire stretch of 140 Km out of which we have completed 95.88% in our stretch.</p> <p>Once again we have attached clear visible scan copies of certificate of M/s Reliance Infrastructure Ltd to this letter as Annexure - I and II in which you can find M/s Reliance Infrastructure Ltd Pune Site office address on top right corner and its registered office address in the Page footer.</p> <p>Statutory Auditor certificates with UDIN number mentioned are attached as Annexure -V</p>	<p>The requisite documents have been submitted by the bidders and hence the same is accepted.</p>

Ajaya





3/6

3	M/s Niraj Cements Structural Ltd.	In regards to the work of Providing Cement Concrete over Existing Bituminous Road (Corridor of Compact) from Ramaswamy Circle to Bannimantapa (Millennium Circle) Via Basaveshwara Circle, Harding Circle, K.R Circle and RMC Circle in Mysore and Construction of BRTS Corridor and Development of Road (Pkg II B) Sanganer Airport to 22 Godam via Rambaug crossing including Elevated road/ Flyover (Revise route. Amrit Nagar to Kishan Dharm Kanta), the work belongs to Category 4 as per RFP clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (V). Kindly justify why the work has been taken in Category 3?	<p>For the work of Providing Cement Concrete over Existing Bituminous Road (Corridor of Compact) from Ramaswamy Circle to Bannimantapa (Millennium Circle) Via Basaveshwara Circle, Harding Circle, K.R Circle and RMC Circle in Mysore</p> <p>“We are herewith clarify that Authority of this work is Public work division, Karnataka therefore his is not Municipality road. We have considered this work under Clause 2.2.2.5 (IV) (iii) - Widening /reconstruction/upgradation work of road in Municipal Corporation limits - Construction of Bypasses.</p> <p>For the work of Construction of BRTS Corridor and Development of Road (Pkg II B) Sanganer Airport to 22 Godam via Rambaug crossing including Elevated road/ Flyover (Revise route. Amrit Nagar to Kishan Dharm Kanta),</p> <p>“We are herewith clarify that Authority of this work is Jaipur Development Authority which is State Government Undertaking entity. The Bridge /Flyover which is constructed in this project is not for any railway line, Metro line and real estate. We have considered this work under Clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) any other linear infrastructure including bridges etc and Clause 2.2.2.5 (IV) (iv) - Construction of stand - alone bridges, ROB, tunnels w.r.t roads.</p>	Both requisite works have been considered in Category 3 as as per RFP Clause 2.2.2.5 (IV) (iii) and Clause 2.2.2.5 (IV) (iv) .
4	M/s Varaha - OIA	In regards to the work mentioned in technical threshold capacity for Lead and other member, certificates from the respective Authority has not been found. It is requested to provide the same.	We hereby submitting the certificates from respective authority for lead and other member as required. Some of the projects are completed so we are submitting completion certificates for the same and some projects are ongoing so submitting letter of award for the same.	The documents have been submitted by the Bidder, hence the same is accepted.
5	M/s GHV (India) Pvt Ltd - Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd.	For M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd, in regards to the work of Earthwork in formation, construction of Bridge from Downside of Meja Road Station to Road over rail bridge, the certificate of Authority M/s RITES has not been found. It is requested to provide the same.	<p>The aforesaid work was awarded by M/s RITES Ltd to M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt Ltd on 23.03.2017 for Pkg P-3/RB and 11.08.2018 for Pkg P-3/RC respectively. The copy of LOA of Pkg P-3/RB and P-3/RC is attached herewith as Annexure -A.</p> <p>M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt Ltd had subcontracted the work</p>	The documents have been submitted by the Bidder, hence the same is accepted.

Ajaya

R. Jaha

Pragya

4/5

			<p>to other member i.e Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt Ltd on back to back basis vide MOU dated 24th March, 2017 for Pkg P-3/RB and 16th Aug, 2017 for Pkg P-3/RC respectively. The copy of MOU is attached herewith as Annexure -B.</p> <p>Thereby the experience certificate was issued by M/s Ram kripal Singh Construction Pvt Ltd on the basis of executed work. We have also submitted the copy of the 26AS(TDS) to support our claim with relation to the project receipt.</p> <p>For the above said tender technical qualifications, we had not considered this project as a single completed work. We have considered the project for the purpose of as a single completed work. We have considered with project for the purpose of Technical payment received.</p> <p>The certificate from M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt Ltd along with 26 AS (TDS Certificate) is attached with the technical bid.</p>	
6.	M/s SIPL - RMN (JV)	The Statutory Auditor's certificate attached does not have UDIN number. You are request to provide the certificate duly certified with Statutory Auditor along with UDIN number.	The bidder vide their letter dated 17.02.2020 has forwarded the documents stating that "We herewith are submitting the duly signed Statutory Auditor Certificates with UDIN Number"	The documents have been submitted by the Bidder, hence the same is accepted.

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders as per the report are as Annexure -I.

8. The Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation carried out by the Financial Consultant and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited - Suryodaya (JV)	Technically Responsive
2	M/s GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
3	M/s RKIPL-NSC (JV)	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	Technically Responsive
6	M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7	M/s SRK Consultant & Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Singh Construction Co.	Technically Responsive
9	M/s KCPL Hyderabad	Technically Responsive

Ajaya P. J. H. W.

10	M/s Varaha-Oia (JV)	Technically Responsive
----	---------------------	------------------------

9. The Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) recommends to open the financial bid of all the 10 technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Finona has checked turnover and network only

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


Ajay Ahulwalia
(ED-I)
Chairman


Y.C. Srivastava
(GM-Tech)
Member


A.K. Jha
(DGM-Tech)
Member


Mahesh Gupta
DGM -Fin.
Member

Annexure - I

Summary of Technical Evaluation					
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 327.99 Cr.	Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii) = Rs. 54.67 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 196.79 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 65.60 Cr.
1.	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited - Suryodaya (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 171.00 Cr)	338.77	71.27
2.	M/s GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd- Skyleek Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 205.36 Cr)	3325.16	73.73
3.	M/s RKIPL-NSC (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 200.35 Cr)	572.93	88.90
4.	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.	325.91	Yes (Rs 102.00 Cr)	NA	NA
5.	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 235.63 Cr)	254.60	333.10
6.	M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	478.45	Yes (Rs 57.83 Cr)	NA	NA
7.	M/s SRK Consultant & Projects Pvt. Ltd.	707.03	Yes (Rs 153.15 Cr)	NA	NA
8.	M/s Singh Construction Co.	334.13	Yes (Rs 103.14 Cr)	NA	NA
9.	M/s KCPL Hyderabad	626.01	Yes (Rs 557.00 Cr)	NA	NA
10.	M/s Varaha-Oia (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 81.79 Cr)	808.23	263.67

Summary of Financial Evaluation							
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Worth (in Crores)	Net INR	Turnover (in INR Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement

Ajay

Ajay

i/v

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR Crores)	Turnover (in INR Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited - Suryodaya (JV)	JV	74-26	Lead - 47.98 Other - 22.98	Lead - 270.82 Other - 49.89	Y
2.	M/s GHV (India) Pvt. Ltd- Skyleek Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	JV	51-49	Lead - 237.05 Other - 92.13	Lead - 1005.19 Other - 35.85	Y
3.	M/s RKIPL-NSC (JV)	JV	51-49	Lead - 109.29 Other - 14.38	Lead - 373.76 Other - 93.85	Y
4.	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.	SE	-	63.51	145.96	Y
5.	M/s SIPL-RMN (JV)	JV	60-40	Lead - 52.38 Other - 974.78	Lead - 192.05 Other - 307.67	Y
6.	M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	63.51	145.96	Y
7.	M/s SRK Consultant & Projects Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	203.75	528.55	Y
8.	M/s Singh Construction Co.	SE	-	24.22	103.67	Y
9.	M/s KCPL Hyderabad	SE	-	41.46	137.92	Y
10.	M/s Varaha-Oia (JV)	JV	51-49	Lead - 150.72 Other - 144.25	Lead - 372.98 Other - 261.19	Y

Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment

Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 218.66 Crore



Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed	Claimed	Whether Qualifying or Not
---------	-----------------------	-----------------------	---------	---------------------------

Ajay

Singh

ji/iv

		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Update factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Update factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Update factor) Rs. Cr.	
1	Keystone Infra Pvt Ltd	2018	1.00	174.57	174.57	2.00	408.24	464.61		Yes
2	SRK Construction and Projects Pvt Ltd	2017	1.05	554.43	582.15	2.00	999.44	1911.32		Yes
3	Singh Construction	2017	1.05	140.49	147.51	2.00	345.94	391.64		Yes
4	Niraj Cements	2018	1.00	109.34	200.26	2.00	86.81	459.89		Yes
5	KCPL	2015	1.15	217.16	249.73	2.00	195.54	1053.11		Yes
6	Credible - Suryodaya (JV)									
	Credible Engineering	2016	1.10	138.95	152.85	2.00	130.84	633.38		Yes
	Suryodaya Infra	2018	1.00	86.08	86.08	2.00	110.12	320.26		Yes
	Total							953.64	Total	Yes
7	GHV - Skyleek									
	GHV	2018	1.00	1258.13	1258.13	2.00	2149.54	4141.11		Yes
	Skyleek	2017	1.05	74.84	78.58	2.00	40.60	352.31		Yes
	Total							4493.42	Total	Yes
8	SIPL - RMN									
	SIPL	2018	1.00	306.12	306.12	2.00	229.67	1300.94		Yes
	RMN	2016	1.10	345.57	380.13	2.00	488.35	1412.29		Yes
	Total							2713.22	Total	Yes
9	Varaha - OIA									
	Varaha	2014	1.20	671.13	805.36	2.00	2522.81	1503.97		Yes
	OIA	2015	1.15	183.81	211.38	2.00	118.68	938.23		Yes
	Total							2442.2	Total	Yes

15/11

								0		
10	RKIPL - NSC									
	RKIPL	2018	1.00	543.15	543.15	2.0 0	1257.6 8	1458.0 7		Yes
	NSC	2018	1.00	155.15	155.15	2.0 0	0.00	775.75		Yes
				Total				2233.8 2	Total	Yes

Handwritten signature

Handwritten initials